

**UNITED STATES COURT OF APPEALS  
FOR THE EIGHTH CIRCUIT**

No: 24-1522

State of Iowa, et al.

Petitioners

v.

United States Securities and Exchange Commission

Respondent

District of Columbia, et al.

Intervenors

-----

Andrew N. Vollmer, et al.

Amici on Behalf of Petitioner

Institute for Policy Integrity at New York University School of Law, et al.

Amici on Behalf of Respondent

No: 24-1624

Liberty Energy, Inc. and Nomad Proppant Services, LLC

Petitioners

v.

United States Securities and Exchange Commission

Respondent

District of Columbia, et al.

Intervenors

-----

Andrew N. Vollmer, et al.

Amici on Behalf of Petitioner

Institute for Policy Integrity at New York University School of Law, et al.

Amici on Behalf of Respondent

No: 24-1626

Texas Alliance of Energy Producers and Domestic Energy Producers Alliance

Petitioners

v.

United States Securities and Exchange Commission

Respondent

District of Columbia, et al.

Intervenors

-----

Andrew N. Vollmer, et al.

Amici on Behalf of Petitioner

Institute for Policy Integrity at New York University School of Law, et al.

Amici on Behalf of Respondent

No: 24-1627

State of Louisiana, et al.

Petitioners

v.

United States Securities and Exchange Commission

Respondent

District of Columbia, et al.

Intervenors

-----

Andrew N. Vollmer, et al.

Amici on Behalf of Petitioner

Institute for Policy Integrity at New York University School of Law, et al.

Amici on Behalf of Respondent

No: 24-1628

Chamber of Commerce of the United States of America, et al.

Petitioners

v.

United States Securities and Exchange Commission

Respondent

District of Columbia, et al.

Intervenors

-----

Andrew N. Vollmer, et al.

Amici on Behalf of Petitioner

Institute for Policy Integrity at New York University School of Law, et al.

Amici on Behalf of Respondent

No: 24-1631

Ohio Bureau of Workers' Compensation, et al.

Petitioners

v.

United States Securities and Exchange Commission

Respondent

District of Columbia, et al.

Intervenors

-----

Andrew N. Vollmer, et al.

Amici on Behalf of Petitioner

Institute for Policy Integrity at New York University School of Law, et al.

Amici on Behalf of Respondent

No: 24-1634

State of West Virginia, et al.

Petitioners

v.

United States Securities and Exchange Commission

Respondent

District of Columbia, et al.

Intervenors

-----

Andrew N. Vollmer, et al.

Amici on Behalf of Petitioner

Institute for Policy Integrity at New York University School of Law, et al.

Amici on Behalf of Respondent

No: 24-1685

National Legal and Policy Center and Oil and Gas Workers Association

Petitioners

v.

United States Securities and Exchange Commission

Respondent

District of Columbia, et al.

Intervenors

-----

Andrew N. Vollmer, et al.

Amici on Behalf of Petitioner

Institute for Policy Integrity at New York University School of Law, et al.

Amici on Behalf of Respondent

No: 24-2173

National Center for Public Policy Research

Petitioner

v.

United States Securities and Exchange Commission

Respondent

District of Columbia, et al.

Intervenors

-----  
Andrew N. Vollmer, et al.

Amici on Behalf of Petitioner

Institute for Policy Integrity at New York University School of Law, et al.

Amici on Behalf of Respondent

---

Petition for Review of an Order of the Securities & Exchange Commission

(Release No 33-11275)

(Release No 34-99678)

(File No. S7-10-22)

(Release No 33-11275)

(Release No 34-99678)

(File No. S7-10-22)

(Release No 33-11275)

(Release No 34-99678)

(File No. S7-10-22)

(Release No 33-11275)

(Release No 34-99678)

(File No. S7-10-22)

(Release No 33-11275)

(Release No 34-99678)

(File No. S7-10-22)

---

## **ORDER**

On consideration of the status report filed July 23, 2025, and the responses thereto, these petitions for review will be held in abeyance to promote judicial economy until such time as the Securities and Exchange Commission reconsiders the challenged Final Rules by notice-and-comment rulemaking or renews its defense of the Final Rules. The Final Rules have been stayed, and an abeyance will not cause material prejudice to the petitioners. It is the agency's responsibility to determine whether its Final Rules will be rescinded, repealed, modified, or defended in litigation.

September 12, 2025

Order Entered at the Direction of the Court:  
Clerk, U.S. Court of Appeals, Eighth Circuit.

---

/s/ Susan E. Bindler